UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,608	07/13/2006	Ian Hall	207,419	8874
	7590 04/22/200 RAYNE & SCHWAB	9	EXAMINER  WENNE DANIEL V	
666 THIRD AVENUE, 10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017			VENNE, DANIEL V	
NEW TORK, I	N1 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/564,608		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL V. VENNE	3617	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	) personnel):		
1) <u>DANIEL V. VENNE, Examiner AU3617</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Joseph Catanzaro,</u>	Applicant's Rep	resentative.
2) <u>Lars Olson, Primary Examiner AU3617</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,9,21,24 and 26</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Conway, Wasenius.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.	
reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed an Explained to applicant's representative that the proposed Applicant's representative indicated intentions to file an Research (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerial allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, and the substance of the su</u>	amendments do not overcome CE and argue the criticality of conditional and argue the criticality of conditional argue the examiner agreements which the examiner agreements that velocity and the conditional arguments are last Office action has already a CF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	the prior art rejected would rend would render the SUBSTANCE (as been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	eatures. er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Lars A Olson/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)